

# Decision on an application for resource consent under the Resource Management Act 1991



## Discretionary activity

<b>Application number:</b>	LUC60345670 (s9 land use consent)
<b>Applicant:</b>	Manatū Taonga Ministry for Culture and Heritage
<b>Site address:</b>	2 Judges Bay Road, Parnell
<b>Legal description:</b>	Lot 1 ALLOT 1,4,5 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND, PT ALLOT 4 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND, PT ALLOT 24 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND, PT ALLOT 4 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND, PT ALLOT 1 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND, PT ALLOT 24 SEC 2 Suburbs AUCKLAND

## Proposal:

The proposal is to erect a National Erebus Memorial and associated footpaths and infrastructure. This includes the alteration and removal of vegetation within a Significant Ecological Area, works, including infrastructure installation, within the protected root zones of notable trees and trees within an open space zone, land disturbance within the Significant Ecological Area and an increase in impervious area across the open space zone.

Resource consent is required for the following reasons:

## Land use consent (s9) – LUC60345670

### Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in part)

#### *Notable Trees Overlay*

- Work within the protected root zone not otherwise provided for is a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule D13.4.1(A9)
  - (a) *Some of the works required within the rootzone of a notable Pohutukawa tree are not expected to meet the standards under D13.6.2.*

#### *Land Disturbance*

- Land disturbance within a Significant Ecological Area greater than 5m<sup>2</sup> is a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule E11.4.3(A28)
  - (a) *Some of the earthworks required to establish the memorial are located within Significant Ecological Area (SEA\_T\_6060). These cover an area of 76.5m<sup>2</sup>.*
- Land disturbance within a Significant Ecological Area greater than 5m<sup>3</sup> is a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule E11.4.3(A30)

LUC60345670

- (a) *Some of the earthworks required to establish the memorial are located within Significant Ecological Area (SEA\_T\_6060). This involves a volume of 45.67m<sup>3</sup>. 26.6m<sup>3</sup> relates to the removal and re-spreading of topsoil, whilst 19.07m<sup>3</sup> is required for fill in these areas.*

#### *Vegetation Management and Biodiversity*

- Any vegetation alteration or removal within the Significant Ecological Area overlay not otherwise provided for is a **discretionary** activity under rule E15.4.2(A43)
  - (a) *Works are required within the protected root zones of a Coral tree (tree 1), Totara tree (tree 7) and notable Pohutukawa tree (tree 2). Works within the protected root zone are not specifically provided for in activity table E15.4.2. It is also proposed to remove a 6m tall Karo tree (tree 6).*

#### *Trees in Open Space Zones*

- Works within the protected root zone that do not comply with Standard E16.6.2 are a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule E16.4.1(A8).
  - (a) *The proposal involves works within the protected root zone of a Coral tree (tree 1) and a notable Pohutukawa tree (tree 2). The works do not comply with Standard E16.6.2.*
- Tree removal of any tree greater than 4m in height or greater than 400mm in girth is a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule E16.4.1(A10).
  - (a) *To establish the memorial, the removal of three Mexican Fan Palm trees (trees 3-5) and a Karo tree (tree 6) over 4m in height is required.*

#### *Infrastructure*

- Works within the protected root zone of notable trees and trees in open space zones for the construction of network utilities not otherwise provided for is a **restricted discretionary** activity under rule E26.4.3.1(A88).
  - (a) *The proposal involves works within the protected root zone of a Coral tree (tree 1) and a notable Pohutukawa tree (tree 2). The works do not comply with Standard E26.4.5.2.*

#### *Open Space Zones*

- To construct a new building that does not comply with one or more standards is a **discretionary** activity under rule H7.4.1(A39).
  - (a) *The structure would increase the impervious area of the site by 60m<sup>2</sup>, with the existing impervious area not complying with the 5000m<sup>2</sup> maximum (currently 8427m<sup>2</sup>) within the standard.*

The reasons for consent are considered together as a **discretionary** activity overall.

## Preamble

I made a decision to non-notify this application on 4 March 2020. The Council has subsequently completed a s.104 and s.104B of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) assessment and recommendation, and the applicant has requested that a decision be made accordingly. I have been asked to make the decision, presumably because I am already familiar with the application and its background.

I have read the application material and the Council assessments and reports, and I refer also to my earlier notification decision for details of the additional material I read when I made that decision. I confirm that I have been mindful of all of that material in making this decision.

In summary I find that the proposal merits the granting of resource consent and that the resource management issues and environmental effects raised by the proposal sit comfortably within the range of matters contemplated by the provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in Part (“**AUP: OP**”), and that the application can be determined on that basis. I have however additionally and out of an abundance of caution elected to also consider this application against Part 2 of the RMA in recognition that a number of interested parties do have concerns regarding the proposal’s acceptability.

In the decision that follows, I have complied with s.113(4) of the RMA and in so doing I have largely adopted the recommendation given to me by the Council’s planner Mr. Gregg.

## Decision

I have read the application, supporting documents, correspondence and the report and recommendations on the application for resource consent. I am satisfied that I have sufficient information to consider the matters required by the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and make a decision under delegated authority on the application.

Acting under delegated authority, under sections 104, 104B and Part 2 of the RMA, the application is **GRANTED**.

## Reasons

The reasons for this decision are:

1. In accordance with an assessment under s.104(1)(a) of the RMA, the actual and potential effects from the proposal will be acceptable. In particular:
  - a. Any adverse effects on the environment would be at most minor, and less than minor on any person, for the reasons set out in my separate notification decision. Those reasons are for completeness adopted. In addition, I find that adverse effects have been appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated including by the conditions of consent volunteered by the applicant and those recommended by the Council’s staff. I refer to the conditions of consent that I have imposed on this decision that follow these reasons.
  - b. The application and its effects have been assessed by a number of technical experts on behalf of both the Applicant and the Council. These areas of expertise include engineering, acoustics, arboriculture, historic heritage, ecology, storm water, landscape architecture, soil contamination, sediment management, policy planning, and regulatory

LUC60345670

planning. These experts are all of the view, within the confines of their individual expertise, that the proposal will have acceptable adverse effects. I find this weight of technical analysis persuasive. I also find that any cumulative effect arising as a result of the proposal as a whole would be acceptable.

- c. The proposal will have positive effects to the extent that those wishing to reflect or otherwise recognise the Erebus tragedy will have a dedicated public space to do so. I accept that this would contribute to them providing for their own social and cultural wellbeing. However, I find that these positive effects are not of themselves significant in the context of the overall environment and the hundreds of other memorials, dedications and artworks across the City. I have not relied on them to justify, balance or outweigh any adverse effects and they have not played a large role in why the application has been found to merit the granting of consent.
2. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(b) of the RMA, the proposal is found to be consistent with the relevant statutory documents, which are the AUP: OP, National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (“**NES:CS**”), Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (“**HGMPA**”), and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (“**NZCPS**”). Of most relevance is the AUP: OP, which I note has been designed to implement the HGMPA and the NZCPS. In terms of the AUP: OP:
    - a. In terms of zone Open Space – Informal Recreation Zone the proposal is generally consistent with Chapter H7, including the objectives and policies (H7.5.2 and H7.5.3) as it would maintain the open and space character and amenity values of the reserve. It would not detract from the amenity or people’s ability to use and enjoy the open space and maintains public accessibility. Mana whenua, historic heritage, natural character and amenity values are maintained and effects on the amenity values of surrounding zones are minimised. The provisions of the zone provide for artworks and memorials.
    - b. In relation to Significant Ecological Area Overlay the proposal is generally consistent with Chapter D9, including the objectives and policies (D9.2 and D9.3). The proposed vegetation works would be managed to minimise adverse effects and the removal of the trees would be mitigated by replacement planting and the effects of the development of new infrastructure within the significant ecological area will be managed and minimised.
    - c. The proposal is generally consistent with Chapter D13 including the objectives and policies for the Notable Trees Overlay (D13.2 & D13.3). The proposal retains the notable trees and the protection of these has been accommodated by the proposal, noting only a small encroachment into the root zone is proposed and this would be undertaken following best arboricultural practice.
    - d. The proposal is generally consistent with Chapter E11 including the objectives and policies for Land Disturbance – Regional (E11.2 & E11.3). Best practice erosion and sediment controls will be in place and are appropriate to the nature and scale of the activity so as to ensure that the stability and safety of surrounding land is maintained. Appropriate protocols would be in place should any sensitive material be uncovered whilst undertaking land disturbance.

- e. With regards to land disturbance, the proposal is consistent with Chapter E12, including the objectives and policies (E12.2 and E12.3) as earthworks will be undertaken in a manner that maintains the stability of the site and adjacent sites and protects the safety of people. Conditions of consent and management plans will also ensure the works are undertaken with appropriate supervision.
  - f. In relation to vegetation management and biodiversity the proposal is generally consistent with Chapter E15, including the objectives and policies (E15.2 & E15.3). The proposed vegetation works would maintain the ecosystem and biological diversity values of the vegetation cover whilst provided for appropriate development. Significant adverse effects are avoided and other effects are mitigated with replacement planting provided.
  - g. The proposal is generally consistent with Chapter E16, including the objectives and policies relating to trees in open space zones (E16.2 & E16.3). The trees to be removed include exotic species and their removal would be mitigated by replanting with indigenous trees which better reflect the amenity, landscape and ecological values of the locality. The proposal would manage any effects on other trees within the zone through appropriate arboricultural methods.
  - h. The proposal is generally consistent with the objectives and policies of Chapter E26 relating to infrastructure (including the objectives and policies at E26.2 & E26.3). New infrastructure is enabled and provides for the development of the proposal within the overlays.
  - i. I record that the proposal will include methodologies to ensure human health is protected from any soil contaminants (NES: CS), and maintain the amenity, health, and character of the coastal environment and Hauraki Gulf (NZCPS and HGMPA).
3. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(c) of the RMA I have considered the concerns raised by interested parties including concerns with the proposal's effects and placement within the reserve, alleged public interest of the proposal, and the memorial's purpose. I find that the matters raised by the third parties do not outweigh or change my above findings that the proposal will have acceptable effects and will be line with the outcomes sought by the AUP: OP and other statutory documents.
  4. In terms of Part 2 of the RMA, the proposal is for a fully Discretionary activity and I am aware that there is concern amongst the community with the proposal. On that basis and out of an abundance of caution, I have elected to consider the proposal in light of Part 2 of the RMA. I find nothing in the relevant and recent case law on this matter that would prevent me from undertaking this action. I agree with the conclusions made in this respect by the Applicant's planning expert Ms. Heritage at section 9 of the AEE, and I adopt those conclusions. The proposal will contribute to the community being better able to provide for its social and economic wellbeing, accepting that some in the community may not approve of it. The proposal has also demonstrated satisfaction of the environmental bottom lines expressed within s.5 of the Act and the relevant matters in sections 6, 7 and 8. Taking an overall view, I find that the promotion of sustainable management would be best served by the granting of consent.
  5. In overall consideration of the above and exercising my powers under s.104B of the RMA, it is my decision that consent shall be granted subject to the conditions of consent below.

LUC60345670

## Conditions

Under sections 108, 108AA of the RMA, this consent is subject to the following conditions:

1. This consent shall be carried out in accordance with the documents and drawings and all supporting additional information submitted with the application, detailed below, and all referenced by the council as resource consent number LUC60345670.
  - Application Form and Assessment of Environmental Effects prepared by Sarah Heritage of Boffa Miskell, dated 16 September 2019

Report title and reference	Author	Rev	Dated
Arboricultural Assessment	Matthew Paul	-	16 October 2019
Geotechnical Investigation	LEG	1	13.09.2019
Construction Noise and Vibration Assessment	Siiri Wilkening	-	26 July 2019
Lighting Strategy	eCubed	-	15/08/2019

Drawing title and reference	Rev	Dated
Location Plan – A-10-00	G	2019-09-11
Existing Plan – A-10-01	F	2019-09-11
Proposed Plan – A-10-02	F	2019-09-11
Level 00 Plan – A-12-01	H	2019-09-11
North, South Elevations – A-15-01	H	2019-09-11
Long Sections – A-16-01	H	2019-09-11
Cross Sections – A-16-02	H	2019-09-11
Proposed Contours Plan – P19-184-110-EW	4	09/09/19
Depth (Cut/Fill) Contours Plan – P19-184-120-EW	4	09/09/19
Depth (Cut/Fill) Contours Plan Under Tree Dripline – P19-184-121-EW	3	30/09/19
Erosion and Sediment Control Layout Plan – P19-184-180-EW	6	30/09/19
Erosion and Sediment Control Details Plan – P19-184-181-EW	1	08/07/19
Proposed Path Layout – P19-184-200-RD	4	09/09/19
Path/Walkway Long Section & Typical Cross Section Plan – P19-184-220-RD	3	29/08/19
Proposed Drainage Plan – P19-184-300-DR	4	09/09/19
Proposed Drainage Long Sections – P19-184-310-DR	1	29/08/19
Typical Service Trench for Power and Water Supply – P19-184-700-UT	1	09/09/19

2. Under section 125 of the RMA, this consent lapses five years after the date it is granted unless:  
LUC60345670

- a. The consent is given effect to; or
  - b. The council extends the period after which the consent lapses.
3. The consent holder shall pay the council an initial consent compliance monitoring charge of \$1075 (inclusive of GST), plus any further monitoring charge or charges to recover the actual and reasonable costs incurred to ensure compliance with the conditions attached to this consent.

**Advice note:**

*The initial monitoring deposit is to cover the cost of inspecting the site, carrying out tests, reviewing conditions, updating files, etc., all being work to ensure compliance with the resource consent. In order to recover actual and reasonable costs, monitoring of conditions, in excess of those covered by the deposit, shall be charged at the relevant hourly rate applicable at the time. The consent holder will be advised of the further monitoring charge. Only after all conditions of the resource consent have been met, will the council issue a letter confirming compliance on request of the consent holder.*

**Arboricultural**

4. Prior to all works commencing on the site, the consent holder shall engage the services of a qualified and competent arborist experienced in site development activities in close proximity to mature trees to direct, supervise and monitor all excavation and construction activity that occurs in the rootzone of protected trees for the duration of the project and to the satisfaction of the Works Arborist and Auckland Council's Heritage Arborist.
5. The consent holder shall ensure that all contractors, sub-contractors, and workers engaged in all activities covered by this consent are advised of the tree protection measures in the conditions of consent and operate in accordance with them and to the satisfaction of the Works Arborist and Auckland Council's Heritage Arborist.
6. All tree work proposed shall be undertaken in accordance with, but not limited to the recommendations within the arboricultural assessment prepared by Matthew Paul of Peers Brown Miller Limited, dated 16 October 2019. A copy of this tree report must be kept on site at all times.
7. All areas within the protected root zone of the notable Pohutukawa tree shall be reinstated with quality topsoil and mulched with well-aged organic wood mulch in accordance with the directions of, and to the satisfaction of the Works Arborist and Auckland Council's Heritage Arborist.
8. Within the current or next planting season (i.e. autumn to spring) immediately following completion of works on the site, the consent holder shall plant four replacement trees with a minimum root ball size of 45 litre. The replacement trees shall be of a native species ecologically suitable to the site.

**Advice note:** *The ecosystem of the site is classified as coastal broadleaved forest (WF4), further information on this ecosystem type and ecologically suitable tree*

*species can be obtained from the Auckland Council publication 'Indigenous terrestrial and wetland ecosystems of Auckland', available online at <http://www.knowledgeauckland.org.nz/assets/publications/Indigenous-terrestrial-and-wetland-ecosystems-of-Auckland-2017.pdf>, or seek advice via Auckland Council Biodiversity at [biodiversity@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:biodiversity@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz)*

9. The replacement trees shall be located in such a position as agreed with the Auckland Council Community Facilities Arborist so that their long-term growth and development is taken into consideration and shall be maintained thereafter for the duration of the activity or use consented in accordance with best arboricultural practice, including irrigation, mulching and formative pruning as necessary.
10. The replacement tree's growth and development shall be monitored for two years following planting. If any tree dies or declines beyond recovery during this period, it shall be replaced by the consent holder with a new specimen of a similar size and species to that which was originally planted and to the satisfaction of the Works Arborist and Auckland Council's Heritage Arborist.
11. A completion report prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced arborist must be supplied to the Team Leader Compliance Monitoring Central within one month of completion of all site works. The completion report shall confirm (or otherwise) that: the works have been undertaken in accordance with the tree protection measures contained in the conditions of consent, the works were completed under the direction of a suitably qualified and experienced arborist, the impact of the works on the protected trees has been no greater than that permitted by the conditions of consent.

### **Stormwater**

12. Permeable paving shall be installed for accessway as shown in Proposed Contours Plan by Woods (reference: P19-184-110-EW, revision: 4, dated: 9 September 2019) and generally conforming to Auckland Council, Auckland Design Manual – Stormwater Management Devices Guide (GD01) –Pervious pavement). A contractor's written certificate of completion shall be provided to the satisfaction of Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central at the completion of the works.

### **Earthworks**

13. The earthworks activity shall be undertaken in general accordance with the following plans and information:
  - National Erebus Memorial Application for Resource Consent and Assessment of Environmental Effects; dated 16 September 2019, prepared by Boffa Miskell (AEE)
  - *Erosion and Sediment Control Layout Plan*; DWG P19-184-180-EW, Rev 5, prepared by Woods (ESCP)
  - *Erosion and Sediment Control Standard Details Plan*; DWG P19-184-181-EW, Rev 1, prepared by Woods (ESC Details)



14. Prior to the commencement of the earthwork's activity, the consent holder shall hold a pre-start meeting that:
- is located on the subject site
  - is scheduled not less than five days before the anticipated commencement of earthworks
  - includes representation from the Team Leader, Compliance Monitoring Central
  - includes representation from the contractors who will undertake the works

The meeting shall discuss the erosion and sediment control measures including the silt fence location and number of returns and shall ensure all relevant parties are aware of and familiar with the necessary conditions of this consent.

The following information shall be made available at the pre-start meeting:

- Timeframes for key stages of the works authorised under this consent;
- Resource consent conditions;
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan required by Condition 13;

***Advice Note:*** *To arrange the pre-construction meeting please contact the Team Leader Compliance Monitoring Central to arrange this meeting on email at [monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz).*

15. Prior to earthworks commencing, a certificate signed by an appropriately and experienced person shall be submitted to the Team Leader, Compliance Monitoring Central, to certify that the erosion and sediment control measures have been installed in accordance with the erosion and sediment control management plan and Auckland Council Guideline Document 2016/005 Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region.
16. The site shall be progressively stabilised against erosion in accordance with Auckland Council Guideline Document 2016/005 *Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region* as soon as practicable as earthworks are finished over various areas of the site.
17. The operational effectiveness and efficiency of all erosion and sediment control measures specifically required as a condition of resource consent shall be maintained throughout the duration of earthworks activity, or until the site is permanently stabilised against erosion.
18. There shall be no deposition of earth, mud, dirt or other debris on any public road or footpath resulting from earthworks activity on the subject site. In the event that such deposition does occur, it shall immediately be removed. In no instance shall roads or footpaths be washed down with water without appropriate erosion and sediment control measures in place to prevent contamination of the stormwater drainage system, watercourses or receiving waters.

19. Upon completion or abandonment of earthworks on the subject site all areas of bare earth shall be permanently stabilised against erosion in accordance with *Auckland Council Guideline Document 2016/005 Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region*.
20. The site shall be progressively stabilised against erosion in accordance with *Auckland Council Guideline Document 2016/005 Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region* as soon as practicable as earthworks are finished over various areas of the site.
21. The proposed earthworks and construction works shall not alter the overland flow paths and must maintain the same entry and exit points at the subject site.
22. All earthworks shall be managed to ensure that they do not lead to any uncontrolled instability or collapse affecting either the site or adversely affecting any neighbouring properties. In the event that such collapse or instability does occur, it shall immediately be rectified.
23. The Consent Holder shall engage an engineer (who is familiar with Letter RE: Geotechnical Fill Platform Recommendations and Design Review – Dove Myer Robinson Park, Parnell, Auckland by ENGE0 Limited to The Building Intelligence Group Limited (dated: 16 October 2019) and Geotechnical Investigation – Revision 2 by ENGE0 Limited (reference: 16066.000.000\_07, dated: 16 October 2019)) to monitor all excavations, filling works and foundation construction. The supervising engineer's contact details shall be provided in writing to Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central at least two weeks prior to earthworks commencing on site.
24. Earthworks (cutting and filling) and construction shall follow the recommendations of Letter RE: Geotechnical Fill Platform Recommendations and Design Review – Dove Myer Robinson Park, Parnell, Auckland by ENGE0 Limited to The Building Intelligence Group Limited (dated: 16 October 2019) and Geotechnical Investigation – Revision 2 by ENGE0 Limited (reference: 16066.000.000\_07, dated: 16 October 2019). The Consent Holder shall provide verification in writing from an engineer to Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central, that the recommendations of the Letter RE: Geotechnical Fill Platform Recommendations and Design Review – Dove Myer Robinson Park, Parnell, Auckland by ENGE0 Limited to The Building Intelligence Group Limited (dated: 16 October 2019) and Geotechnical Investigation – Revision 2 by ENGE0 Limited (reference: 16066.000.000\_07, dated: 16 October 2019) have been implemented on site. This shall be provided no later than two weeks after foundation/retaining construction have been completed. All details in the written statement shall be to the satisfaction of Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central.

### **Mana Whenua**

25. Prior to the commencement of construction, a Cultural Monitoring Plan shall be prepared by a suitably qualified person and endorsed by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei. The Cultural monitoring plan shall be implemented on site for the duration of the land disturbance activities.

LUC60345670

26. The Cultural Monitoring Plan shall include:
- a) Requirements and protocols for cultural inductions;
  - b) Identification of sites and areas where cultural monitoring is required during particular construction works;
  - c) Identification of personnel nominated by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei to undertake cultural monitoring;
  - d) Details of personnel nominated by the Ministry for Culture and Heritage and Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei to assist with management of any issues identified during cultural monitoring, including implementation of the Accidental Discovery Protocol.

### Archaeology

27. The area of the pond will be marked out prior to the start of works to ensure that no accidental damage occurs to the satisfaction of Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central.
28. The area of the former roadway shall be investigated by a suitably qualified archaeologist prior to the commencement of any works within that area to determine whether further archaeological or significant heritage remains are present
- If any archaeological or significant heritage remains are uncovered, the accidental discovery rule (E12.6.1 of the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part)) is to be followed.
29. All preliminary earthworks undertaken for the project are to be monitored by a suitably qualified archaeologist to determine whether further archaeological or significant heritage remains are present.
30. The proposed haul road will be demonstrably engineered to avoid any undue compaction over the area of the former Kilbryde House to the satisfaction of Auckland Council Team Leader Compliance and Monitoring – Central.

### Advice notes

1. *Any reference to number of days within this decision refers to working days as defined in s2 of the RMA.*
2. *For the purpose of compliance with the conditions of consent, “the council” refers to the council’s monitoring inspector unless otherwise specified. Please email [monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz) to identify your allocated officer.*
3. *For more information on the resource consent process with Auckland Council see the council’s website: [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz). General information on resource consents, including making an application to vary or cancel consent*

LUC60345670

conditions can be found on the Ministry for the Environment's website:

[www.mfe.govt.nz](http://www.mfe.govt.nz).

4. *If you disagree with any of the above conditions, and/or disagree with the additional charges relating to the processing of the application(s), you have a right of objection pursuant to sections 357A and/or 357B of the Resource Management Act 1991. Any objection must be made in writing to the council within 15 working days of your receipt of this decision (for s357A) or receipt of the council invoice (for s357B).*
5. *The consent holder is responsible for obtaining all other necessary consents, permits, and licences, including those under the Building Act 2004, and the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. This consent does not remove the need to comply with all other applicable Acts (including the Property Law Act 2007 and the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015), regulations, relevant Bylaws, and rules of law. This consent does not constitute building consent approval. Please check whether a building consent is required under the Building Act 2004.*



**Ian Munro**

**Duty Commissioner**

18 March 2020

## Resource Consent Notice of Works Starting

Please email this form to [monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz) at least 5 days prior to work starting on your development or post it to the address at the bottom of the page.

<b>Site address:</b>				
<b>AREA (please tick the box)</b>	<b>Auckland CBD</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Auckland Isthmus</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Hauraki Gulf Islands</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Waitakere</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Manukau</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Rodney</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>North Shore</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Papakura</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Franklin</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Resource consent number:</b>			<b>Associated building consent:</b>	
<b>Expected start date of work:</b>			<b>Expected duration of work:</b>	

Primary contact	Name	Mobile / Landline	Address	Email address
Owner				
Project manager				
Builder				
Earthmover				
Arborist				
Other (specify)				

<b>Signature:</b> Owner / Project Manager (indicate which)	<b>Date:</b>
--	--------------

Once you have been contacted by the Monitoring Officer, all correspondence should be sent directly to them.

### SAVE \$\$\$ minimise monitoring costs!

The council will review your property for start of works every three months from the date of issue of the resource consent and charge for the time spent. You can contact your Resource Consent Monitoring Officer on 09 301 0101 or via [monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:monitoring@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz) to discuss a likely timetable of works before the inspection is carried out and to avoid incurring this cost.

1 Greys Avenue | Private Bag 92300, Auckland 1142 | [aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](http://aucklandcouncil.govt.nz) | Ph 09 301 0101

LUC60345670