

Board Self-Evaluation

Guidance for Crown Entity Boards

February 2020

Evaluation is Governance Best Practice

- Ministers expect Crown entity boards to regularly assess their own performance.
- "A systematic review of the performance of individual directors (including the chair) and of the board as a whole helps address weaknesses, increases skill levels and demonstrates a commitment to accountability".

(Institute of Directors' Code of Practice).



Crown Entities Act 2004 (the Act)

- The Board has a duty to protect the value of the Crown's investment – 'stewardship'.
- The Act sets out the 'rules of the road' for Crown governance.
- Schedule 5 sets out expectations for board procedures, including:

appointments, terms of appointment, resignation, removal, chair functions and powers, procedures, notice of meetings, meetings themselves, and committees.



The Act continued

• Sections 49-52 set out the board's collective duties, including:

acting consistently, performance of functions, financial responsibility.

• Sections 53-57 set out individual member's duties, including:

complying with legislation, honesty and integrity, acting in good faith, reasonable care and skill, not disclosing information.

 A board evaluation can check if the Board understands and complies with the Act. Manatū Taonga Ministry for Culture & Heritage

Approach to Board Evaluations

- We recommend taking a principles-based approach.
 Rather than using a standard questionnaire, focus on
 key areas which support good governance and tailor
 the questions to suit your entity.
- The Board determines the process and format. For example, evaluations may be managed internally, or the board may be assisted by an external facilitator.
- Ideally the Chair or a board member leads the process.
 Someone external to the board may also be involved to collect individual responses.



Board Evaluation Tips

- Allow enough time for the whole process.
- A questionnaire can be a mix of scoring (a scale is more useful than yes/no) and open comments.
- Survey board members, management, stakeholders.
- Chair discusses individual responses in 1:1 meetings.
- Board discusses combined results in dedicated meeting.
- Focus on the key actions which will make a difference.

https://www.iod.org.nz/resources-and-insights/guides-and-insights/



Self-Evaluation Criteria

Areas which support good governance



See the Ministry's Governance e-manual for more information (https://mch.govt.nz/mi/governance-e-manual).

The board evaluation should also take into account...

- the entity's purpose/function (e.g. regulator or funder)
- the board's current maturity level
- the life-cycle stage of the board (member churn, new chair, organisational changes, a financial crisis etc.)
- the current chair's expectations and role in leading the board.



A. The Board's Strategic Role

How well does the Board develop and direct strategy?

- Clarity of purpose, goals and values
- Alignment with Minister's expectations
- Time spent on long-term strategic and policy issues
- Communicating strategic direction and values to staff and stakeholders

B. The Board's Monitoring Role

How much is the Board focused on its role as the primary monitor of performance?

- Monitoring and evaluating progress towards goals
- Board structures and processes support effective monitoring
- Understanding difference in roles of board and management
- Quality and timeliness of information provided to Minister (via the Ministry)

C. Risk Management

How well is the Board monitoring risks to long-term viability?

- Reviewing risks across entity and environment
- Awareness of the special risks applicable to Crown entities
- Contingency plans deal with risks which cannot be controlled or mitigated
- Relevance of strategic direction considering the identified risks.

D. Audit and Compliance

How well is the Board complying with legislation and policies?

- Understanding of responsibilities under the Crown Entities Act 2004 and its own legislation.
- Compliance with other legal and ethical requirements
- Following the Board's charter
- Relevance of policies to guide management

E. Financial Monitoring

How well is the Board monitoring the entity's financial wellbeing and long-term viability?

- Quality and timeliness of reporting on finances
- Long-term financial forecasting
- Board members' financial understanding
- Performance of audit committees (or if there are none, is one needed)
- Review and action from auditor's report

F. Chair's Performance

How is the Chair getting the best out of board members?

- Quality of agendas
- Chairing of meetings
- Managing conflicts of interest
- Feedback provided to individual members
- Relevance of committees
- Relationship with Chief Executive
- Communication with stakeholders (e.g. Ministry)

G. Board Members' Performance

How well are members contributing to the Board?

- Understanding role (governance vs management)
- Understanding entity's mission, work and sector
- Members' competencies
- Standards of conduct
- Involvement and adding value to discussions
- Declaring relevant interests
- Induction, training and development opportunities

H. Chief Executive's Performance

How well is the Chief Executive responding to the Board's strategic direction?

- Monitoring and reviewing performance
- Quality and timeliness of information provided
- Understanding role and responsibilities
- Compliance with board's expectations and policies
- Training and development opportunities
- Communication with Ministry

Board Structure and Competencies

The self-evaluation could ask members:

- what skills and experience they bring to the Board
- what they want to develop.

The Board can then develop a board competencies matrix, to determine:

- the current mix of skills, experience, diversity, sector and independent knowledge on the Board
- The competencies the Board needs over the next
 3-5 years.



Board Competencies Matrix example

Board member	Governanc Crown	e experience Commercial/ Non-profit	Sector experience	Financial experience	Legal/ Regulatory experience	Investment/ property experience	People/ capability experience	Region	Gender	Ethnicity	Age range

The \checkmark symbol indicates the member is competent in this area.

The $\sqrt[4]{\sqrt{\ }}$ symbol indicates a high level of competence (e.g. extensive experience/qualifications).



Summary Report

The summary of the board evaluation results and competencies matrix should include:

- Key themes identified by the Board
- Actions agreed to be taken by the Board
- Any recommendations for future board composition

The summary report for the Minister (copied to the Ministry) would ideally be 1-2 pages.

Manatū Taonga Ministry for Culture & Heritage

Further information on board evaluations

- Carter McNamara's 'Board of Directors Self Evaluation' www.managementhelp.org/boards/brd eval.htm
- The Treasury's 'Board of Directors Performance Evaluation' guidance in the Owner's Expectations Manual https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2018-06/oem18-updates.pdf
- Institute of Directors' board evaluation service <u>https://www.iod.org.nz/services-for-boards/board-evaluations/#</u>
- Sport New Zealand's 'Step 8' in 'Nine Steps to Effective Governance' https://sportnz.org.nz/assets/Uploads/attachments/managing-sport/strong-organisations/Nine-Steps-to-Effective-Governance-Building-High-Performing-Organisations.pdf

- Creative New Zealand's sample board performance evaluation in 'Getting on Board' https://www.creativenz.govt.nz/assets/paperclip/publication_documents/documents/46/original/getting on board publication-web.pdf?1391130106
- Ernst and Young's article on effective board evaluations https://www.ey.com/en_gl/board-matters/how-boards-can-use-effective-evaluation-to-improve-performance
- SpencerStuart's article on improving board effectiveness https://www.spencerstuart.com/research-and-insight/improving-board-effectiveness-five-principles-for-getting-the-most-out-of-a-board-assessment